
Course: MBAA518: Managerial Finance

Instructor: DIMITRIOS SISKOS \*





Strongly Agree	4.78	4.78	4.89	5	4.22	4.75	4.89	
Agree								
Neutral								College
Disagree								College
Strongly Disagree								
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	

Q2 - The instructor used a variety of methods to communicate with the class. (e.g. email, announcements, etc.)

Q3 - The instructor was readily available for questions and assistance outside the classroom.

Q4 - The instructor provided meaningful and timely feedback on my assignments and progress.

Q5 - Quizzes and examinations were fair tests of the learning objectives and materials in the course.

Q6 - The instructor kept the class actively engaged with the subject matter and each other.

Q7 - My overall impression of the instructor is positive.

Course: MBAA518: Managerial Finance

Instructor: DIMITRIOS SISKOS \*

1 - The instructor exhibited expertise in the course subject matter.													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent	Responses	;		Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	7	77.78%				I	4.78	4.54		4.54
Agree			(4)	2	22.22%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
						0	25	50 75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	4.78	0.44	5.00	2,172		4.54	0.74	5.00		6,386	4.54	0.74	5.00

2 - The instructor used a variety of methods to communicate with the class. (e.g. email, announcements, etc.)													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent I	Responses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	7	77.78%					4.78	4.46	1	4.45
Agree			(4)	2	22.22%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
						0	25 5	0 75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	4.78	0.44	5.00	2,1	67	4.46	0.80	5.00		6,364	4.45	0.81	5.00

3 - The instructor was readily available for questions and assistance outside the classroom.													
Response Option	Weight	ons and assistance outside the classroom. ht Frequency Percent Percent Responses											

Response Option			weight	Frequency		Percent R	esponses			means			
Strongly Agree			(5)	8	88.89%					4.89	4.42		4.43
Agree			(4)	1	11.11%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
						0	25 50	75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	4.89	0.33	5.00	2,155		4.42	0.88	5.00		6,337	4.43	0.87	5.00

4 - The instructor provided meaningful and timely feedback on my assignments and progress. **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Responses Means Percent Strongly Agree (5) 8 100% 5.00 4.36 4.34 0 0% Agree (4) Neutral 0 0% (3) 0 0% Disagree (2) Strongly Disagree (1) 0 0% 25 50 75 100 Instructor College Campus 0 College Return Rate Mean STD Median Mean STD Median Campus Mean STD Median 8/15 (53.33%) 5.00 0.00 5.00 2,158 4.36 1.00 5.00 6,341 4.34 1.01 5.00

Course: MBAA518: Managerial Finance

Instructor: DIMITRIOS SISKOS \*

5 - Quizzes and examinations were fair tests of the learning objectives and materials in the course.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	esponses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	5	55.56%						4.22	4.34		4.35
Agree			(4)	2	22.22%									
Neutral			(3)	1	11.11%									
Disagree			(2)	1	11.11%									
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%									
						0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean		STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	4.22	1.09	5.00	2,15	53	4.34		0.88	5.00		6,337	4.35	0.86	5.00

6 - The instructor kept the class actively engaged with the subject matter and each other.													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent	Responses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	6	75%					4.75	4.39		4.37
Agree			(4)	2	25%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
				•		0	25 5	0 75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
8/15 (53.33%)	4.75	0.46	5.00	2,1	54	4.39	0.86	5.00		6,331	4.37	0.89	5.00

7 - My overall impress	7 - My overall impression of the instructor is positive.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent	Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree			(5)	8	88.89%					4.89	4.46		4.43		
Agree			(4)	1	11.11%										
Neutral			(3)	0	0%										
Disagree			(2)	0	0%										
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%										
						0	25 5	0 75	100	Instructor	College		Campus		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median		
9/15 (60%)	4.89	0.33	5.00	2,1	54	4.46	0.88	5.00		6,355	4.43	0.91	5.00		

#### 8 - General comments regarding this instructor.

Return Rate	5/15 (33.33%)

very understanding

• Instructor was awesome. He was so helpful and very knowledgeable. On the other hand, please please change the textbook. It is confusing and really hard to understand.

• Professor Siskos was patient and understanding along with making himself available for help.

• I found it much more challenging than previous courses in finance that I have taken, albeit grad level courses versus undergrad. I was ill prepared on some activities and had problems, but the instructor worked with me to ensure that I performed my coursework to a higher standard in the remaining weeks. His feedback was always well received and enlightened throughout the coursework. I really enjoyed this class and hope to take another one with Dimitrios Siskos in the future.

• Professor Siskos was by far my best instructor I've had in both my graduate and undergraduate classes. I received immediate and detailed feedback with each submission, which demonstrates his ability to communicate effectively and show personal interest in developing each student's expertise in finance. His weekly quizzes, assignments, and discussion forums helped further my knowledge and think critically. I would personally recommend Professor Siskos to anyone that's interested in pursuing a career in finance.

Course: MBAA518: Managerial Finance

Instructor: DIMITRIOS SISKOS \*

9 - The delivery mode (e.g. Classroom, Online, EagleVision, etc.) used in this course was my most preferred delivery mode.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent R	esponses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	4	50%						4.38	4.31		4.31
Agree			(4)	3	37.5%									
Neutral			(3)	1	12.5%									
Disagree			(2)	0	0%									
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%									
						0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	S	TD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
8/15 (53.33%)	4.38	0.74	4.50	2,1	52	4.31	0.	.90	5.00		6,326	4.31	0.89	5.00

10 - My overall impression of this delivery mode (e.g. Classroom, Online, EagleVision, etc.) is positive.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percer	nt Re	esponses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	3	37.5%						4.38	4.37		4.37
Agree			(4)	5	62.5%									
Neutral			(3)	0	0%	1								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%	1								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%	1								
						0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STE	2	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
8/15 (53.33%)	4.38	0.52	4.00	2,1	54	4.37	0.82	2	5.00		6,333	4.37	0.80	5.00

### 11 - General Comments regarding this delivery mode (e.g. Classroom, Online, EagleVision, etc.):

#### Return Rate 2/15 (13.33%)

• Although it was easy to take this coursework if the time was put in to accomplish all the required assignments, I feel that it would have been a great experience for this finance course to be taken in a classroom for me.

• Professor Siskos delivered his coursework and supplemental resources in an appropriate and timely manner throughout the semester. His ability to offer external resources to provide additional examples helped me comprehend the material more and further my expertise in my job.

12 - The learning objectives were clearly stated throughout this course.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	esponses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	6	66.67%						4.56	4.49		4.49
Agree			(4)	2	22.22%									
Neutral			(3)	1	11.11%									
Disagree			(2)	0	0%									
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%									
						0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	:	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	4.56	0.73	5.00	2,1	57	4.49	(	0.73	5.00		6,345	4.49	0.72	5.00

13 - The grading criteria were explicit and easy to understand.													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent R	Responses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	6	66.67%					4.56	4.43		4.42
Agree			(4)	2	22.22%								
Neutral			(3)	1	11.11%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
						0	25 50	) 75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Colle	ege	Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	4.56	0.73	5.00	2,1	59	4.43	0.82	5.00		6,336	4.42	0.84	5.00

Course: MBAA518: Managerial Finance

Instructor: DIMITRIOS SISKOS \*

14 - Instructions for course activities and assignments were clear.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	esponses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	7	77.78%						4.67	4.32		4.33
Agree			(4)	1	11.11%									
Neutral			(3)	1	11.11%									
Disagree			(2)	0	0%									
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%									
						0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Colle	ege	Mean	;	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	4.67	0.71	5.00	2,14	46	4.32		0.92	5.00		6,308	4.33	0.91	5.00

15 - The average amo	5 - The average amount of hours I spend working on this course (in and out of class) per week is:												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent F	Responses			Means		
More than 15 hours			(5)	1	11.11%					0.70	0.74		
10-15 hours			(4)	6	66.67%					3.78	3.74		3.62
Approximately 10 hours	;		(3)	1	11.11%								
5-10 hours			(2)	1	11.11%								
0-5 hours			(1)	0	0%								
					•	0	25 5	0 75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Colle	ege	Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	3.78	0.83	4.00	2,1	59	3.74	1.08	4.00		6,352	3.62	1.13	4.00

										1			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent R	esponses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	5	55.56%					4.33	4.18		4.18
Agree			(4)	3	33.33%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0%								
Disagree			(2)	1	11.11%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
						0	25 50	75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Colle	ege	Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	4.33	1.00	5.00	2,14	15	4.18	0.93	4.00		6,311	4.18	0.93	4.00

17 - This course used	17 - This course used a variety of instructional methods, materials, and media.												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent	Responses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	5	55.56%					4.44	4.31		4.30
Agree			(4)	3	33.33%								
Neutral			(3)	1	11.11%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%	7							
						0	25	50 75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Colle	ege	Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	4.44	0.73	5.00	2,13	37	4.31	0.81	4.00		6,289	4.30	0.82	4.00

Course: MBAA518: Managerial Finance

Instructor: DIMITRIOS SISKOS \*

8 - The textbook and/or assigned readings were relevant and supported the learning objectives.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Re	esponses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(5)	4	50%						3.88	4.35		4.32
Agree			(4)	1	12.5%						5.86			
Neutral			(3)	2	25%									
Disagree			(2)	0	0%	1								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	1	12.5%									
						0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Colle	ege	Mean	ST	D	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
8/15 (53.33%)	3.88	1.46	4.50	2,14	12	4.35	0.8	3	5.00		6,306	4.32	0.86	5.00

19 - How likely is it that you'd recommend this course to a fellow student?													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent R	esponses			Means		
Definitely			(5)	5	55.56%					4.33	4.13		4.12
Very Probably			(4)	2	22.22%		I						
Possibly			(3)	2	22.22%		1						
Probably Not			(2)	0	0%								
Very Probably Not			(1)	0	0%								
			•			0	25 50	75	100	Instructor	College		Campus
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Colle	ege	Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median
9/15 (60%)	4.33	0.87	5.00	2,1	57	4.13	1.05	4.00		6,358	4.12	1.05	4.00

### 20 - General comments regarding this course:

Return Rate 3/15 (20%)

• The modules need to open sooner than what they are. Online classes are taken so that they can fit into the regular schedule of students otherwise student would take standard classroom class. When modules are locked until the week of students no longer have the ability to look at what is coming up and possible get a start in the event it's going to be a busy week for them.

• I hope to continue reading this textbook and the coursework over the next few weeks in order to strengthen my understanding and close the gaps in the areas in which I faltered. I like the way this textbook and the additional resources read much better than some previous courses.

• This was a valuable course taught by an extremely professional and knowledgeable professor. I would have no hesitation to recommend this course to a fellow student.

21 - The instructor ut	1 - The instructor utilized all the time allocated for the class.													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent F	esponses			Means			
Always			(5)	8	100%					5.00	4.61		4.61	
Often			(4)	0	0%	7								
Sometimes			(3)	0	0%	1								
Rarely			(2)	0	0%	1								
Never			(1)	0	0%	1								
						0	25 50	75	100	Instructor	College		Campus	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Colle	ege	Mean	STD	Median		Campus	Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	2,10	03	4.61	0.71	5.00		2,103	4.61	0.71	5.00	

Mean of Means Calculations	Mean	College	Campus
Course Experience	4.32	4.24	4.22
Delivery Mode	4.38	4.34	4.34
Instructor Experience	4.76	4.42	4.42